

Prostasia Foundation 18 Bartol Street, #995 San Francisco, CA 94133

info@prost.asia Ofc:+1 415 650 2557 Mbl:+1 510 480 8449 https://prost.asia

Hon. Rep. Jerrold Nadler Chairman House Judiciary Committee 2138 Rayburn Office Bldg. Washington, DC 20515

February 17, 2021

H.R.73 - CREEPER Act 2.0 and H.R.87 - JUSTICE Act of 2021

Dear Chairman Nadler,

Prostasia Foundation is a child protection organization that advocates for an evidence-based approach to the prevention of child sexual abuse. We write to express our concern about shortcomings in the above bills, which are largely identical, and both of which are currently under consideration by the Judiciary Committee. The bills are motivated, we hope, by a desire to protect real children from sexual abuse, which as a child protection organization we strongly support. But as an organization that insists the measures we take to protect children should be evidence-based and constitutionally sound, we are concerned that these bills are neither of those things.

First, the bills' Congressional Findings—which assert that the devices typically referred to as "sex dolls" "lead to rape" or that they "cause the exploitation, objectification, abuse, and rape of minors"—are contradicted by the world's leading researchers on this topic, whom we work with, and who assure us that there is absolutely no evidence to support these assertions. In fact, we are currently working with scientists from the State University of New York to investigate whether the *opposite* may be true: that for users of such devices who live with an abnormal sexual interest in children, the device could be an essential tool in the management of that condition, preventing them from acting out against a real child.

But even if the Congressional Findings are taken at face value, the above bills are a plainly unconstitutional way of addressing these assumed facts. Alexandra Levy Yelderman, senior staff attorney

1 They include Dr James Cantor (Director of the Toronto Sexuality Centre), Dr Michael Seto (editor in chief of Sexual Abuse: A Journal of Research and Treatment and author of Pedophilia and Sexual Offending Against Children), Dr Craig Harper (Senior Lecturer at the Department of Psychology, based at Nottingham Trent University), and Dr Richard Siegel (Director of Modern Sex Therapy Institutes). Dr Harper presented with us in November 2019 at the conference of the Association for the Treatment of Sexual Abusers on the topic of "Exploring and Understanding the Experiences of People Who Own Sex Dolls."

at the Human Trafficking Legal Center and Adjunct Professor of Law at the Notre Dame, has written a detailed first amendment analysis of the CREEPER Act, in which she concluded:

The Court's holding in *Ashcroft* that, absent a "direct connection, the Government may not prohibit speech on the ground that it may encourage pedophiles to engage in illegal conduct" plainly renders the CREEPER Act unconstitutional.²

Aside from its First Amendment problems, the bills would also fail to pass Fourteenth Amendment scrutiny. In *Reliable Consultants, Inc. v. Earle*, 517 F.3d 738, the U.S. Federal Circuit court for the 5th circuit affirmed that there is an individual right under the Fourteenth Amendment to engage in private intimate conduct in the home without government intrusion; per *Stanley v Georgia*, 394 U.S. 557 (1969), this also includes the private use of obscene materials. In *Earle*, a Texas law that banned commercial transactions with sex toys was held to infringe this right, despite the government's attempt to justify the ban as being for the protection of minors. The court ruled, "the State's generalized concern for children does not justify such a heavy-handed restriction on the exercise of a constitutionally protected individual right," and concluded, "Whatever one might think or believe about the use of these devices, government interference with their personal and private use violates the Constitution."

Further, as introduced, the above bills are drafted in such broad and vague language that it would inevitably impact many innocent parties outside of its intended scope. Such "anatomically-correct" devices are often manufactured in smaller sizes because this makes them lighter and easier to manipulate, not because they are intended to resemble children. Yet purchasers of these devices, regardless of size or appearance, have reason to fear that they might be prosecuted simply because the device in question is not tall enough, its eyes are too large, or its breasts too small. Additionally, many individuals have no intent on utilizing the device/s for sexual gratification.

It is beneath the dignity of the Congress to consider legislation regulating the intimate dimensions of sex toys. A much better approach is to allow the private sector to self-regulate the sale of smaller-scale devices of this nature, in partnership with child protection experts. As an organization advised by such experts, Prostasia Foundation has collaborated with this industry on a set of voluntary guidelines to ensure that they do not sell sex toys that harm children and promote or minimize the gravity of child sexual abuse.

The above bills raise—but do not convincingly answer—a very important question: do these devices lead directly to the harm of real children? We are the only child protection organization that is funding research to have this question answered scientifically so that the next generation of laws dealing with this topic will be better informed. In that respect, the above bills are, at best, premature and may have actively harmful consequences by inhibiting scientific research into the potential benefits of these devices.

We urge you to place a hold on any further consideration of bills H.R.73 and H.R.87, until hearings on the issue can be held. We offer to testify at any such hearings, and also offer to connect you with other

2 Alex F. Levy, "The 'CREEPER Act' Would Be Yet Another Unconstitutional Law from Congress (Guest Blog Post)," Technology & Marketing Law Blog, June 28, 2018, https://blog.ericgoldman.org/archives/2018/06/the-creeper-act-would-be-yet-another-unconstitutional-law-from-congress-guest-blog-post.htm. relevant experts and industry stakeholders. If legislation is found to be warranted after hearings have been held, such legislation should be tailored more narrowly than the above bills to avoid their manifest constitutional flaws.

Many thanks in appreciation of your attention to this sensitive and important issue.

Yours sincerely,

Jeremy Malcolm

Executive Director, Prostasia Foundation

cc: Hon. Rep. Mary Gay Scanlon

Ranking Member

cc: Hon. Rep. Sheila Jackson Lee

Chair, Subcommittee on Crime, Terrorism and Homeland Security